

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/109,261	06/30/1998	GANG BAI	042390.P5769	3347
7.	590 01/26/2005		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY S	OKOLOFF TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN	WARREN, M	IATTHEW E
SEVENTH FLO	OOR IRE BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	S, CA 90025		2815	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	A	K	_

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Ap	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		9/109,261	BAI, GANG			
Office Action Summar	γ Ex	aminer	Art Unit	· 		
		atthew E. Warren	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears	s on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMITION OF THIS COMITION OF THE STATE OF THIS COMITION OF THE STATE	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply withi num statutory period will ap or reply will, by statute, caus onths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a n the statutory minimum of thin ply and will expire SIX (6) MON e the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this come BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Nover</u>	mber 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This acti					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	nadioo anadi Exp	ario Quayro, 1000 o.	,			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10,13-17,20 and 21</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10, 13-17, 20 and 2</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to r	_ is/are withdrawn foris/are rejected. to.	rom consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object.	s/are: a) ☐ accepte	ring(s) be held in abeya s required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ı(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a case a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the property of the property of the property of the certified copies of the property of the property of the certified copies of the property of the property of the property of the certified copies of the property of the property of the property of the certified copies of the property of the property of the property of the certified copies of the property of the property of the property of the property of the certified copies of the certified co	of: iority documents ha iority documents ha pies of the priority o rnational Bureau (P	ve been received. ve been received in A documents have beer CT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National S	tage		
Attachment(s)		n □ · · ·				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on November 1, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10, 13-17, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagata et al. (US 4,015,281) in view of Momose et al. (US 5,990,516) and Moon (US 5,621,681).

Nagata discloses (col. 3, line 45 – col. 4, line 67) a transistor device having a gate electrode overlying a gate dielectric formed directly on a semiconductor substrate. The dielectric (col. 4, lines 34-49) comprises a first dielectric having a first dielectric constant and a second dielectric having a second dielectric constant different from the first dielectric constant. The first and second dielectrics are scalable for a set of feature size technologies, wherein the first and second dielectric thickness are determined by the formula as recited in claims 8 and 15 (see the expanded formula in col. 4, lines 39-44). The second dielectric (Al₂O₃) has a greater dielectric constant than the first dielectric (SiO₂) (col. 4, lines 45-49). A third dielectric (SiO₂-P₂O₅), having a third dielectric constant may also be used in the composite dielectric layer (col. 4, lines 50-56). Nagata et al. shows all of the elements of the claims except the set of feature size

Art Unit: 2815

technologies defined by a gate length in the range of 25-150 nm. Momose et al. discloses (col. 16, 28-48 and col. 16, line 66-col. 17, line 32) a semiconductor device having double layer gate dielectric in which the feature size technology has a gate length of 150 nm (or 0.15 μm) to form a high performance semiconductor having low power consumption. Momose et al. further discloses (col. 15, lines 13-31) that the gate length can be decreased even more to improve the current drive capability. The gate in one embodiment had a length of 40 nm (0.04 µm). Momose et al. also discloses (col. 2, lines 52-58) a semiconductor device in which the gate dielectric is less than 1/3 the gate length. The thin gate dielectric improves hot carrier reliability and ultimately the operating characteristics. Nagata and Momose shows all of the elements of the claims except the first dielectric selected from the group of HfO₂, BaO, La₂O₂, Y₂O₃ and ZrO₂. Moon shows a (fig. 2) a semiconductor device comprising a first dielectric material (11a) of Y₂O₃ and a second dielectric material (12a) of PZT which has a second dielectric constant greater than the dielectric constant of the first dielectric. With this configuration, the yttrium oxide is used as a buffer dielectric and a good quality ferroelectric is formed on the substrate. Moon also shows that the transistor device is isolated from other devices by shallow trench isolation (2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multi-layer gate dielectric of Nagata for a feature size technology with a desired gate length as taught by Momose to form a high performance transistor having low power consumption. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the multiplayer gate dielectric of Nagata and Momose by using Y₂O₃ and PZT

Application/Control Number: 09/109,261

Art Unit: 2815

as the first and second dielectric layer as taught by Moon to form a good quality ferroelectric on a substrate.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 8-10, 13-17, 20 and 21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because, although Nagata provides a similar formula, Nagata includes silicon dioxide on both sides of the equation and does not provide any limits. However, this argument does not undermine the teachings of Nagata. First, if Nagata's formula were rewritten to solve for Teff/EsiO2 (equivalent to applicant's tox/kox), Nagata's formula would look exactly like applicant's formula with T_{SiO2}/E_{SiO2} substituted for applicant's t_2/k_2 . Applicant's claim does not exclude SiO_2 as the second dielectric and thus Nagata does teach the claimed relationship. Furthermore, that Nagata does not include a limit as to Teff does not undermine the rejection. T_{eff} is merely a variable label used to identify the equivalent oxide thickness. It has the same function as "tox" used by applicant which carries the same meaning; the equivalent oxide thickness. The difference pointed out by applicant is nothing more than a functional difference; applicant solves for t₁/k₁ and t₂/k₂ based on a given t_{ox}, whereas Nagata does not initially give tox (Teff). However, this is merely a difference in starting parameters and does not undermine the structure and formulaic relationship taught by Nagata, the same structure and formula as is instantly claimed. Note also that the instant claim does not specify a certain gate length and thus a certain tox but that tox is based on an unknown "chosen gate length."

The formula is also used to calculate the effective thickness of other materials and therefore the first and second dielectric materials are scalable for a set of feature size technologies as stated in the claims. In all, the cited references teach and make obvious all the elements of the claims, the arguments to the contrary are not persuasive and the rejection is made final.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (571) 272-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternating Fri 9:00-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/109,261

Art Unit: 2815

261 Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEW

January 21, 2005

GEORGE ECKERT PRIMARY EXAMINER